For the Northern District of California

28

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9					
10	EDGAR W. TUTTLE, ERIC BRAUN, and No. C 10-03588 WHA				
11	THE BRAUN FAMILY TRUST by its cotrustee ERIC BRAUN, on behalf of themselves				
12	and all others similarly situated ORDER REQUIRING SUBMISSION OF				
13	Plaintiffs, SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS RE: MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY				
14	v. APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT				
15	SKY BELL MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,				
16	Defendants/				
17					
18	As stated at yesterday's hearing on the motion for preliminary approval of the proposed				
19	class action settlement, class counsel shall submit the following:				
20	(1) Transcript of the depositions of the two auditors, including the exhibits.				
21	(2) A statement by an expert, sworn to under oath (or a sworn statement by a non-expert, who can adequately address the issue) that addresses each claim in the				
22	complaint, as it relates to the auditors, and explains why each claim is not viable and would not result in recovery of damages in excess of what the damages expert				
23	has calculated.				
24	(3) Of the approximately \$150 million that was invested in the three limited partnerships at issue here, how much in total has been lost, regardless of the				
25	reason for the loss?				
26	Please submit a response by NOON ON MAY 4, 2012.				
27	This action was originally filed in state court. Defendant Rothstein Kass removed the				

action to this district. Jurisdiction was found pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
07	I

28

U.S.C. 1332(d)(2).	By NOON ON APRIL 25, 2012	, the parties are or	rdered to show	cause why
CAFA notice is not	t required.			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 20, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE